

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 ALFRED LO,)
11 Plaintiff(s),) Case No. 2:13-cv-02329-JCM-NJK
12 vs.) ORDER
13 VERIZON WIRELESS, LLC,)
14 Defendant(s).)
15 _____)

16 This matter is before the Court on the parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling
17 Order. Docket No. 17. As an initial matter, the Court notes that the proposed discovery plan was
18 not timely filed. *See* Docket No. 16. In addition, the proposed discovery plan misstates Local Rule
19 26-4, in that it provides that requests to extend deadlines in the scheduling order need only be filed
20 20 days before the discovery cut-off. *See* Docket No. 22 at 4. Local Rule 26-4 requires that any
21 request to extend deadlines set forth in the scheduling order must be submitted at least 21 days
22 before the subject deadline. For example, any request to extend the deadline for initial expert
23 disclosures must be filed at least 21 days before the expiration of that deadline. Such a request filed
24 only 21 days before the discovery cut-off would be untimely.


25 In an effort to ensure future compliance and complete understanding of the Local Rules, the
26 Court hereby **ORDERS** attorneys Kyle Tatum and Deverie Christensen¹ to file a certification with
27 _____

28 ¹ A separate order will issue regarding attorney Patrick Kang.

1 the Court no later than April 24, 2014, indicating that they have read and comprehend Local Rules
2 26-4 and 26-1.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: April 17, 2014

5 
6 NANCY J. KOPPE
7 United States Magistrate Judge